TES Work Assignment Performance Evaluation Report* EPA Contractor												
Region		RCRA/ CERCLA		Site/Facility		Number	Ta	Prime Contractor/ Subcontractor				
11	CER	CLA	Fort	t Mor	mouth	2J1F	Nor	CDM/				
Work Estimated Assignment No. Hours				Evaluation	on Period		Milestones Evaluated					
632 166			Fron	Draft Report								
Performance Criteria				Perf. Rating (1-5)								
A. Technical - Effectiveness of Analysis/ Originality of Products - Organization - Support (e.g., Adherence to Regulations and Procedures) - Approach - Thoroughness			4	Contractor performed searches and analysis of technical information in applicable data bases. The work was performed in a manner which minimized the resources required.								
Schedule Responsiveness (e.g., Work Plan Development, Deliverables) Priority Adjustments Adherence to Established Period of Performance				2	Contractor deliverable will be submitted within an acceptable performance period.							
C. Cost - Budget Maintenance - Cost Minimization - Project LOE - Travel - Equipment - Accuracy of Cost Projections				4	Contractor performed within cost projections.							
D. Management - Resource Utilization - Subcontracting - Reporting - Equipment - Quality Assurance - Travel - Data Review - Coordination/Communication				3	Project management was performed in manner that ensured the assigned task was accomplished in an efficient manner.							
P.C.'s (C.P.M.'s) Overall Rating					(
R.C.'s (R.M.'s) Overall Rating				3	Adequate perfernoce wer mantand deur this penal							
1. Unsatisfact 2. Marginal 3. Satisfactory 4. Exceeds E 5. Outstandin	xpectati	ons		ntracto EPA	A Primary Co r Project Man Regional Co Regional Man	ager ntact	R	389214				

This form is intended for both EPA and TEC contractor us

TES Work Assignment Performance Evaluation Report*												
EPA Contractor												
Region	on RCRA/ CERCLA			Site/Facility		Number	Task		Prime Contractor/ Subcontractor			
Work Estima Assignment No. Hour			-		Evaluation	on Period			Milestones Evaluated			
				From: To:								
Performance Criteria				Perf. Rating (1-5)	Rating Rating Justification							
A. Technical Effectiveness of Analysis/ Originality of Products Organization Support (e.g., Adherence to Regulations and Procedures) Approach Thoroughness			lo	3	Awaiting Liks dealt							
B. Schedule Responsiveness (e.g., Work Plan Development, Deliverables) Priority Adjustments Adherence to Established Period of Performance			erables)	3	Did not mot deadline for EPA submittal of draft HRS to EPA Nowever, reguested 2 week extension for this.							
C. COSt - Budget Maintenance - Cost Minimization - Project LOE Travel Equipment - Accuracy of Cost Projections			3	no Actin								
D. Management - Resource Utilization - Subcontracting - Reporting - Equipment - Quality Assurance - Travel - Data Review - Coordination/Communication			3	nu actin								
P.C.'s (C.P.M.'s) Overall Rating			3	Althor Happears a dequate plenter munce has been maintained almost no commented between physilf and the remarks								
R.C.'s (R.M.'s) Overall Rating				so Files have not heen returned yet.								
Unsatisfac Marginal Satisfacto Exceeds Outstand	ry Expecta	tions		EPA Primary Contact Contractor Project Manager EPA Regional Contact Intractor Regional Manager								

This form is intended for both EPA and TES contractor use.
 It should also be used for both RCRA and CERCLA assignments.